Identification of novel genetic defects in cone-rod dystrophy patients from an outbred population by using homozygosity mapping het oogziekenhuis rotterdam ege hospital HUMAN HUMAN GENETICS NIJME GEN



Karin W. Littink^{1,2}, L. Ingeborgh van den Born¹, Rob W.J. Collin^{2,3}, Klaus Rohrschneider⁴, Maria M. van Genderen⁵, Mary J. van Schooneveld⁶, Marijke N. Zonneveld^{1,2}, Anneke I. den Hollander^{2,3,7}, Frans P.M. Cremers^{2,3}

¹The Rotterdam Eye Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; ⁴ Dept. of Ophthalmology, University of Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany; ⁵ Bartiméus Institute for the Visually Impaired, Zeist, The Netherlands; ⁶ Dept. of Ophthalmology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands; ⁶ Dept. of Ophthalmology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Aim

The purpose of this study was to unravel the causative gene defects in patients with autosomal recessive cone-rod dystrophy.

Background

Cone-rod dystrophy (CRD) is a group of retinal dystrophies in which cones are equally or more severely affected than rods. Main symptoms are reduced visual acuity, photophobia, loss of color vision and visual field defects. ~30% of autosomal recessive cases are caused by mutations in ABCA4.

Method



FIG. 1 Principle of homozygosity mapping in outbred population in which parents of a patient have a common ancestor. The original region (in orange) surrounding the mutation (red bar) becomes smaller every generation due to meiotic recombination.

Conclusions

By unraveling the molecular cause in 4 of 11 multiplex families we show that homozygosity mapping is a powerful tool in identifying novel mutations in patients from an outbred population. The most important result was the identification of a novel retinal dystrophy gene; EYS³. Furthermore, we show that molecular knowledge of the disease may lead to a better phenotypic understanding.

Results

In 4 multiplex CRD families we found the causative mutation; three in known retinal disease genes (ABCA4, PROM1, CABP4) and one in a novel gene (EYS). In two families the mutation was located in the largest homozygous region, in two families it was located in the second large region. (table 1) Clinical re-evaluation led to another diagnosis than cone-rod dystrophy in 3 out of 6 patients. (table 2)

Family number (number of affected siblings) 6 (2) 2 (2) 7 (2 5 (2) 3 (2) 4 (2) 1st 19 3rd PROM1 c.1142-1G>A/c.1142-1G>A

ABCA4

Size of homozygous 2nd region (Mb) p.C54G/p.C54G No clinical data available

Table. 2 Overview of clinical data for patients carrying mutations in PROM1, EYS and CABP4.

······································	Visual acuity		Refractory error*		Photo- phobia	Night blind-	Color vision	Visual field	Electroretinogram			Phenotype	
RE	LE	RE	LE			ness			Rods		Con	es	
20/125	20/200	-2.5	-2.75	-	-	+	Abnormal	Central scotoma	$\checkmark \checkmark$		Non reco	ordable	CRD
20/125	20/200	-9.5	-9.0	-	-	+	Abnormal	Central scotoma	$\checkmark \checkmark$		Non reco	ordable	CRD
20/100	20/40	+3.0	+2.0	-	+	+	?	Constricted	Non record	able	Non reco	ordable	Retinitis pigmentosa
20/50	20/80	-4.5	-4.25	-	+	+	Abnormal	Central scotoma	\checkmark	\checkmark		\downarrow	CRD
									Rods	Μ	ixed	Cones	
20/200	20/200	+ 5.0	+5.5	+	+	-	Abnormal	Normal	Normal	Electro	onegative	$\checkmark \checkmark$	Cone-rod synaptic disorder
20/125	20/200	+4.5	+4.5	+	+	-	Abnormal	Normal	Within 2 SD of normal	Electro	onegative	$\checkmark \checkmark$	Cone-rod synaptic disorder
2 2 2 2	RE 20/125 20/125 20/50 20/200	RE LE 20/125 20/200 20/125 20/200 20/100 20/40 20/50 20/80 20/200 20/200 20/125 20/200	RELERE $0/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 $0/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 $0/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 $20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$	RELERELE $0/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 -2.75 $0/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 -9.0 $0/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $+2.0$ $20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 -4.25 $20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $+5.5$ $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$	RELERELE $0/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 -2.75 $ 20/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 -9.0 $ 20/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $+2.0$ $ 20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 -4.25 $ 20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $+5.5$ $+$ $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$ $+$	RELERELELE $0/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 -2.75 $ 0/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 -9.0 $ 0/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $+2.0$ $ 20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 -4.25 $ 20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $+5.5$ $+$ $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$ $+$ $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$ $+$	RELERELEHess $20/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 -2.75 $ +$ $20/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 -9.0 $ +$ $20/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $+2.0$ $ +$ $+$ $20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 -4.25 $ +$ $+$ $20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $+5.5$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$ $+$ $+$ $-$	REIEREIEIesIes $20/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 -2.75 $ +$ Abnormal $20/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 -9.0 $ +$ $+$ Abnormal $20/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $+2.0$ $ +$ $+$ $+$? $20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 -4.25 $ +$ $+$ $+$ Abnormal $20/200$ $20/200$ $+$ $+$ 5.5 $+$ $+$ $+$ $-$ Abnormal $20/125$ $20/200$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $-$ Abnormal	RE LE RE LE Itess Itess Itess Itess 20/125 20/200 -2.5 -2.75 - - + Abnormal Central scotoma 20/125 20/200 -9.5 -9.0 - - + Abnormal Central scotoma 20/100 20/40 +3.0 +2.0 - + + ? Constricted 20/50 20/80 -4.5 -4.25 - + + Abnormal Central scotoma 20/200 20/200 +5.0 +5.5 + + - Abnormal Normal 20/125 20/200 +4.5 +4.5 + + - Abnormal Normal	RELERELEInessInessRods $0/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 -2.75 $ +$ AbnormalCentral scotoma $\downarrow \downarrow$ $0/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 -9.0 $ +$ AbnormalCentral scotoma $\downarrow \downarrow$ $0/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $+2.0$ $ +$ $+$?ConstrictedNon record $20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 -4.25 $ +$ $+$ AbnormalCentral scotoma \downarrow $20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $+5.5$ $+$ $+$ $+$ AbnormalNormalNormal $20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $+5.5$ $+$ $+$ $-$ AbnormalNormalNormal $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$ $+$ $+$ $-$ AbnormalNormalWithin 2 SD of normal	RELERELEIncompositionRods $0/125$ $20/200$ -2.5 -2.75 $ +$ AbnormalCentral scotoma $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$ $0/125$ $20/200$ -9.5 -9.0 $ +$ AbnormalCentral scotoma $\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$ $0/100$ $20/40$ $+3.0$ $+2.0$ $ +$ $+$ $?$ ConstrictedNon recordable $20/50$ $20/80$ -4.5 -4.25 $ +$ $+$ $Abnormal$ Central scotoma $\downarrow \downarrow$ $20/200$ $20/200$ $+5.0$ $+5.5$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $Abnormal$ NormalNormalElectro $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$ $+$ $+$ $ Abnormal$ NormalNormalElectro $20/125$ $20/200$ $+4.5$ $+4.5$ $+$ $+$ $ Abnormal$ NormalNormalElectro	RELEReLEImage: constraint of the second of the	RELERELEImage: Conestination of the second of t

References

1. Woods *et al.* AJHG 2006 May;78(5):889-96 3. Collin *et al*. AJHG 2008 Nov;83(5):594-603

Table. 1 Three largest overlapping homozygous regions per family. Red boxes show the regions that harbor the causative mutations.

 ΛL , fight eye, LL, left eye, τ , present, τ , ubsent, Ψ , decreased, $\Psi \Psi$, severely decreased, DD, standard deviation,





?)	8 <i>(2)</i>	9 <i>(3)</i>	10 <i>(3)</i>	11 <i>(2)</i>
	2	2	4	9
	1	2	1	4
	1	-	1	1







CABP4²

Support

SWOO-Flieringa Foundation, The Rotterdam Eye Hospital FFB, USA. Commercial Relationships: None

k.littink@antrg.umcn.nl